

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,828	07/06/2004	Jonathan Martinek	2821	9771
50855	7590 . 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
UNITED STATES SURGICAL, A DIVISION OF TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP 195 MCDERMOTT ROAD			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NORTH HA	VEN, CT 06473		3733	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· .			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/774,828	MARTINEK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James L. Swiger	3733			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 July 2006.				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖾	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)🖂	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	• , , ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received			
,	see the attached detailed Office action for a	inst of the certified copies no	received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/04;		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 7/10/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/10/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benderev et al. (US Patent 5,439,467). Benderev et al. disclose an instrument kit capable of performing a repair procedure having at least one template (see Fig. 1) that has an elongated body that defines an X, Y and Z axis. The elongated body would be sufficient to access a meniscal repair, and likewise has a slim, reduced profile for use. Likewise, after removal, a correspondingly-dimensioned meniscal repair device could be introduced along that same path created by the templating device. Benderev et al. also disclose what may be considered an atraumatic tip (140/120) designed to produce minimal injury to tissue, and an elongate body that has an end portion that is obliquely, or offset at an angle, arranged with respect to an x-axis (see bent end in Fig. 1a). Note that the device is capable of being offset in a z-axis, depending on how one looks at it. Benderev et al. also disclose at least two templates (compares figs. 1 and 1a), each body defining X, Y, and Z axes. The elongate body of

Art Unit: 3733

the first template (Fig. 1) is substantially linear, while the end portion of the second template is considered obliquely arranged (Fig. 1a), and may be considered offset in a Y-axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 10-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benderev et al. '467 in view of Barriero et al. (US Patent 6,666,872). Benderev et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a width of an elongate body being substantially less than the height, or having at least a first, second or third disposable loading unit. Also, Benderev et al. does not disclose a third template with an elongate body that may be offset in the direction of the Z-axis.

Barriero et al. disclose an elongate body having a width less than a height (note that in Fig. 3, the elongate body may be considered from a side, where the width would be less than the height, a first, second and third disposable loading units (14, embodiments in Figs. 3, 4 and 5) that define appropriate axes (Col. 2, lines 37-61) and also have within the loading units appropriate templates (76), one of which may be a third template (wherein the template 76 is offset differently in Fig. 5 than in Fig. 4, the template in Fig. 5, may be a third template in the z-direction.) See also Col. 4, lines 24 - 51, where the elongate bodies may facilitate introduction into the operative site and be

Application/Control Number: 10/774,828 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

bent at different angles, therefore with respect to different axes). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Benderev et al. '467 having at least a width of an elongate body being substantially less than the height, or having at least a first, second or third disposable loading unit in view of Barriero et al. to better orient the device when performing a meniscal repair procedure.

Claims 8-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benderev et al. '467 in view of Neufeld (US Patent 3,842,824). Benderev et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a removably attachable handle that may be attached at a proximal end of a template. Neufeld discloses a handle (22) that is removably attachable to an insertion device such as a pin or template so that it may access another template or insertion device as needed (see also Col. 3, lines 35-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Benderev et al. having at least a removably attachable handle in ivew of Neufeld to better use the device in surgery for repair.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3733

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See pto-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLS

28-9/18/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER